OBJECTION TO MOTION TO DISMISS

RECEIVED 2023 May 22, 8:00AM IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

To: Jan Noriyuki, Commission Secretary Idaho Public Utilities Commission PO Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0074

Date: May 21, 2023

From: Samuel and Peggy Edwards

333 Shoshone Ave Rexburg, Idaho 83440

RE: Objection to ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER "Motion to Dismiss" Case ID "PAC-E-23-05"

Dear Ma'am,

We object to the motion by ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER/PACIFICORP to dismiss our complaint (case ID "PAC-E-23-05") based on failure to state a claim. In our letter to CEO Gary Hoogeveen (dated 2/16/2023), we explicitly disputed ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER/PACIFICORP's first notice which stated to all recipients that the "installer couldn't access the meter base". Rocky Mountain Power never has responded to our 2/16/2023 dispute letter. The full signed letter to Mr. Hoogeveen was included with our complaint (received by you on 3/23/2023) which originated PAC-E-23-05. This dispute letter states our claim which is repeated on the first page of our 3/23/2023 complaint to Idaho Public Utility Commission as "access to the meter has never been impeded for service and that we have always paid our power bill each month and are currently not late with payment."

Possibly, our claim was not initially understood or was ignored by Rocky Mountain Power. So, we will here re-state our claim(s) clearly.

<u>CLAIM</u> (as referenced in our 3/23/2023 complaint to Idaho Public Utility Commission): our family has fulfilled our contract responsibilities for electric service and not given reason for termination of service as described by Utility Customer Relations Rules (UCRR) 302.

IMPLIED CLAIM: the purposes of meter access listed in the Company's tariff, "Electric Service Regulation of Rocky Mountain Power", Regulation No. 6(2)(d), do not include meter upgrade. Therefore, declining a meter upgrade is not equivalent to denying access to the meter, per UCRR 302.

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER/PACIFICORP asserts in Paragraph 17 of its "Answer and Motion to Dismiss" that Electric Service Regulation No. 7 does not prohibit the upgrade of any meters. The company then asserts that Electric Service Regulations No. 6 & 7 allow for the Company to upgrade its meters and "requires customers to provide physical and actual access to the meters for this process". However, this interpolation exceeds the actual language in both regulations and, without correction, will cause ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER/PACIFICORP to violate UCRR 302 in the case of my family's electric service.

As can be seen in Appendix A of the "Answer and Motion to Dismiss", ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER/PACIFICORP's interpolation was communicated to customers: "as required by the Idaho Public Service Commission¹, clear and safe access must be available to electric meters for inspection, maintenance, meter upgrades, and to enable us to respond to any emergencies" (see first notice, emphasis added). Then, in ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER/PACIFICORP's final notice, the interpolation reaches maturity as justification under UCRR 302.01(e) to terminate customers' power for declining a meter upgrade, an assertion ungrounded in the company's tariff. According to paragraph 8 of the "Answer and Motion to Dismiss", about 50 customers who objected to smart meter upgrades have been strong-armed into receiving the meter against their will due to this interpolation of Electric Service Regulations No. 6 & 7.

Our meter is not damaged, and we have provided company representatives with safe, unencumbered access for the purposes required in Electric Service Regulation No. 6. Yet, ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER/PACIFICORP has threatened our family with service disconnection because we wish to decline ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER/PACIFICORP's "Advanced Metering Infrastructure" (AMI) program. Termination of our family's service is not justified by UCRR 302.

We seek relief by Idaho Public Utility Commission in the following ways:

- 1) Decline ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER/PACIFICORP's motion to dismiss our case "with prejudice".
- 2) Require ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER/PACIFICORP to correct their interpolation by updating customers of the correct requirements for "safe, unencumbered access" to utility meters and wiring.
- 3) Relieve ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER/PACIFICORP and other public utility companies serving Idaho of the unreasonable burden of 100% meter upgrades by allowing customers to opt-out and companies to negotiate alternative metering arrangements.

Thank you in advance for your time and consideration in remedying this matter as soon as possible in fulfillment of public trust. In the case of pulsed radio frequencies, as used in electric metering (measuring/trespassing) devices, company profit motives cause a disregard for the health and privacy concerns of a minority of citizens². We call upon Idaho Public Utility Commission to protect the minority of consumers from "one-size-fits-all" meter upgrades.

Very truly,

Samuel Z. Edwards, Sui Juris

Peggy M. B. Edwards, Sui Juris

Witness to signature #1

Witness to signature #2

¹ Google search "Idaho Public Service Commission" (conducted 5/21/2023) raises top results as links of "Idaho Public Utilities Commission", including https://ballotpedia.org/Idaho Public Utilities Commission, where both terms used.

² https://childrenshealthdefense.org/wp-content/uploads/Brief-and-Addendum-Submitted-9-14.pdf, accessed 5/20/2023